## **Project Identification**

- 1. Project Title: Yield Response and test weight stability of Oat to Fertilizer N
- 2. Project Number: 201504418
- 3. Producer Group Sponsoring the Project:

Prairie Oat Growers Association

## 4. **Project Location(s):**

- Indian Head, Yorkton, Melfort, and Redvers
- 5. Project start and end dates (month & year): May 2016 to Feb 2017

## 6. Project contact person & contact details:

Bill May, Project Manager, P.O. Box 760 Indian Head, SK, S0G 2K0 Phone: 306-665-5225 Email: william.may@agr.gc.ca

### **Objectives and Rationale**

## 7. Project objectives:

**Objectives:** 

1) to validate under local conditions, recent research results showing that oat requires moderate amounts of N and that test weight declines as N rate is increased.

2) to expose growers to new oat cultivars that may be better than cultivars currently grown in the area of the trial.

3) to determine if the test weight of current oat cultivars vary in the stability of their test weight as the nitrogen rate is increased.

## 8. Project Rationale:

Oat growers are looking for ways to increase their yield and maintain the quality of the oats they grow. Many are using high N rates with varying degrees of success. Research indicates that some cultivars have a more stable test weight than other cultivars as the nitrogen fertilizer fate is increased. In addition new cultivars are available that growers have not had a chance to see evaluated in their own area. This demonstration will help producers choose the appropriate nitrogen rate and cultivar when growing oats.

### Methodology and Results

### 9. Methodology:

Experimental Design: 2 factors, N rate and cultivar in a RCBD design. Plot Size: 35 x 13 feet Reps: 3 Treatment Factors

1) Cultinom

1) Cultivars

Four cultivars (cultivars picked for each location based on two popular and two new cultivars with potential)

Four cultivars (cultivars picked for each location based on two popular and two new cultivars with potential)

Indian Head	Melfort	Redvers	Yorkton
Stride	Stride	Stride	Stride
CDC Ruffian	CDC Minstrel	Justice	CDC Dancer
CS Camden	AC Morgan	Souris	Summit
CDC Big Brown	CDC Seabiscuit	CDC Morrison	Triactor

2) Nitrogen Rate (kg N ha-1)

- I) 40
- II) 60
- III) 80
- IV) 120

Data Collection

1. Soil test: 0-6 inches, 6-24 inches, N bulked across reps, P, K, and S bulked across the

- test
  - 2. Plant density
  - 3. Lodging, (1-10, 1= upright and10=flat)
  - 4. grain yield
  - 5. test weight
  - 6. thin kernels

## 10. Results

The experiment was successfully carried out at all four locations Indian Head, Yorkton, Redvers and Melfort in 2014, 2015 and 2016. The only exception was at Redvers in 2014 when seeding could not be completed due to excessive soil moisture and rain. In 2014 there was no interaction among the cultivars for their response to nitrogen fertilizer at all three locations; which means that cultivars all responded in a similar manor to the application of nitrogen fertilizer. In 2015 and 2016 there were significant interactions between the cultivars and their response to N fertilizer. Plant density differed among the cultivars tested at 9 out of 11 site-years. As the nitrogen rate increased, plant density decreased at only Yorkton in 2015 and 2016 (Tables 4 and 8). In fact at Yorkton in 2015, the cultivars differed in the effect N rate had on their plant density (Table A4, Appendix 1). The plant density of all the cultivars tended to decrease as the N fertilizer rate increased but they varied in the levels that were statistically different.

In 2014, as the amount of applied N increased lodging increased, especially at Indian Head (Tables 1-3). At Yorkton and Melfort, the lodging increased when the N rate increased from 80 to 120; however, at Indian Head there was an increase in lodging each time the N rate increased. In 2015 lodging increased as the N rate increase at Melfort and Redvers (Tables 5 and 7). Again the increase in lodging at Melfort was between 80 and 120 kg N/ha. While Redvers had lodging that was similar to the lodging observed at Indian Head in 2014 with lodging increasing every time the level of N increase. At Yorkton in 2015 lodging decreased as the N rate increased (Table 4). In addition the cultivars reacted differently to increasing N at three of the locations in 2015, Yorkton, Melfort and Redvers (Tables 4, 5 and 7). Unexpectedly lodging decreased for three of the four cultivars at Yorkton in 2015 as the N rate increased (Table A4). At Melfort in 2015 lodging was low with a very small increase in the lodging of CDC Seabiscuit and Stride as the N rate increased from 80 to 120 kg N/ha while the lodging of AC Morgan and CDC Ministrel did not change did not change (Table A5). At Redvers very little lodging occurred in CDC Morrison while the lodging of the other three cultivars tended to increase as the N rate increased (Table A7). At Yorkton in 2015, Triactor again had the lowest level of lodging; however, summit had the highest level of lodging among the four cultivars. The lodging in Souris was higher than the other cultivars at Redvers in 2015. At Yorkton 2014, the cultivars Summit and Triactor had lower lodging than both Stride and CDC dancer (Table 1). At Melfort in both 2014 and 2015 and Indian Head in 2014 the cultivars did not differ in lodging. At Indian

Head in 2015 stride had slightly more lodging than the other three cultivars (Table 6). In 2016 lodging increased as the N rate increased at Melfort and Redvers (Tables 9 and 11). At Yorkton lodging similar to Triactor. The lodging of Stride and CDC Dancer increased as the \n rate increased.

In all eleven site-years (a location in a specific year) trials conducted over the three years, grain yield increased as the N rate increased. At three site years, Indian Head 2014 and Redvers 2015 and 2015 only 60 kg N/ha was needed to maximize yield (Tables 3, 7 and 11). Two site years, Indian Head 2015 and Yorkton 2016, required 80 kg N/ha to maximize yield (Tables 6 and 8). At six site years, Yorkton in 2014 and 2015, Melfort in 2014, 2015, and 2016 and Indian Head in 2016, 120 kg N/ha was required to maximize yield (Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 and 10). At Indian Head in 2014 and Redvers in 2015, the cultivars did not differ in their grain yield (Tables 3 and 7). At Indian Head in 2015, Stride had a grain yield that was below the other three cultivars (Table 6) while in 2016 both Stride and CDC Ruffian had a lower yield than CDC Big Brown. At Yorkton, Triactor had the highest grain yield in all three years (Tables 2, 4 and 8). In 2014 CDC Dancer had a higher yield than Summit and Stride; however, in 2015 and 2016 CDC dancer had the lowest yield at Yorkton. At Melfort, AC Morgan had the highest yield of all the cultivars in all years (Tables 2, 5, and 9). In 2014 at Melfort that other cultivars had similar grain yields while in 2015 CDC Seabiscuit out yielded CDC Minstrel and Stride and CDC Minstrel out yielded Stride. In 2016, CDC Seabiscuit had a yield that was lower than CDC Minstrel. At Yorkton in 2016 the yield of all the cultivars increased as the N rate increased except for CDC Dancer (Table A8).

In 2014 the test weight of the cultivars did not vary in their response to the addition of N fertilizer. The test weight of the cultivars differed in their response to the addition of N fertilizer at 2 out of 4 locations in 2015 and 1 out of 4 locations in 2016. The test weight declined as the N rate increased at Indian Head in 2014 and 2015, Yorkton in 2014 and 2016, Melfort in 2015 and 2016 and Redvers in 2015 and 2016 but not at Melfort in 2014, Yorkton in 2015 and Indian Head in 2016 (Tables 1-11). The decrease in test weight was small at Yorkton in 2014 (from 259 to 253 g/0.5L) and Indian Head in 2015 (from 253 to 250 g/0.5L) as the N rate increased from 40 to 120 kg N/ha. At Indian Head in 2014 there was a larger decrease in test weight, from 264 to 246 g/0.5L as the N rate was increased from 40 to 120 kg N/ha. At Melfort in 2015 there was a large decrease in the test weight of CDC Seabiscuit as the N rate increased (Table A5). In addition the test weight of Stride decreased as the N rate increased while the test weight of AC Morgan and CDC Minstrel had decreases of less than 4 g/0.5L. At Redvers in 2015 the test weight of all the cultivars except Souris declined as the N rate increased (Table A7). The decrease in test weight as the N rate increased was greater than 10 g/0.5L for both Leggett and Stride. At Redvers in 2016 the test weight of Souris and Stride both decreased as the N rate increased. (Table A11)

Over the two years the effect increasing of N fertilizer was consistent on plump seed but not thin seed and groat yield. The percentage of plump seed tended to decrease as the N rate increased (Tables 1-7)

	Plant Density	Lodging	Yield	Test weight	Plump seed	Thin Seed	Groat Yield
	Plants/m <sup>2</sup>	1-10	Kg/ha	g/0.5L	%	%	%
Cultivar							
Stride	272.7 a	4.2 b	5960.9 c	266.0 a	96 ab	0.5 B	72.9 c
CDC Dancer	271.4 a	5.6 a	6512.6 b	257.0 c	96 bc	0.7 B	75.6 a
Summit	269.0 a	2.6 c	6194.8 c	260.8 b	96 a	0.6 B	74.5 b
Triactor	253.8 a	2.9 c	7049.3 a	242.4 d	95 c	1.3 A	72.4 c
Nitrogen Rate							
(kg/ha)							
40	268.5 a	3.5 b	5741.6 c	259.2 a	97 a	0.6 A	73.9 a
60	268.2 a	3.4 b	6309.8 b	257.6 ab	96 b	0.8 A	73.9 a
80	268.8 a	3.7 b	6642.0 b	256.6 b	96 bc	0.8 A	73.9 a
120	261.3 a	4.8 a	7024.2 a	252.8 c	95 c	0.9 A	73.8 a

Table 2. Yield response a	able 2. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Melfort in 2014															
	Plant		Lodge													
	Density	/	Belgian		Grain yield	ł	Grain yiel	d	Test Wt		Plump		Thin		TKW	/
	/m2		0-5		kg/ha		bu/ac		g/0.5 L		%		%		g/100	0 k
Cultivar																
Stride	284.8	b	0.2	а	6714.3	b	175.8	b	282.89	а	88.216	А	2.288	а	32.7	d
CDC Minstrel	328.3	а	0	а	6874.6	b	180	b	276.31	ab	90.388	А	2.368	а	36.11	С
AC Morgan	295.6	b	0	а	7496.6	а	196.3	а	273.86	b	90.244	А	1.203	b	37.36	b
CDC Seabiscuit	277.8	b	0.3	а	6819.1	b	178.6	b	264.92	С	90.475	А	1.611	b	39.48	а
Nitrogen Rate																
40 kg/ha	294.7	а	0.05	b	6575.5	d	172.2	d	276.29	а	90.716	А	1.834	а	36.83	а
60 kg/ha	293.4	а	0.05	b	6850.6	С	179.4	С	275.44	а	90.635	А	1.936	а	36.93	а
80 kg/ha	305.3	а	0.05	b	7103.9	b	186	b	272.04	а	89.113	В	1.866	а	36	b
120 kg/ha	292.9	а	0.35	а	7374.6	а	193.1	а	274.2	а	88.859	В	1.833	а	35.9	b

Table 3. Yie	ole 3. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Indian Head														
	Plant De	ensity	Lodge	Grain yield	Test Wt		Plump	seed	Thin	Seed	Groat	yield	Wild O		
	/m2	2	(1-10)	kg/ha	g/0.5 L		%	, D	9	6	%	, )	g/50g		
Cultivar															
Stride	241 a	1	6.8 a	3726.8 a	261.9 a	а	80	b	2.2	а	70	bc	0.252 a		
innacle	222 a	1	6.6 a	4028.7 a	248.29	с	93	a	1.2	b	71	b	0.264 a		
DC Orrin	229 a	1	6.6 a	4125.3 a	256.08	b	93	а	1.4	b	70	с	0.25 a		
DC Big Br	229 a	1	5.8 a	4038.7 a	260.52	ab	91	а	2.6	а	73	а	0.216 a		
itrogen Ra	ate (kg/ha)														
0 kg/ha	229 a	1	3.8 d	3426.4 b	264.31 a	а	92	а	1.5	b	72	а	0.26 a		
0 kg/ha	231 a	1	5.8 c	4144.7 a	261.07 a	а	91	a	1.5	b	71	b	0.191 a		
0 kg/ha	234 a	1	7.4 b	4051.8 a	255.62 l	b	90	а	1.8	b	71	с	0.313 a		
20 kg/ha	227 a		8.8 a	4296.6 a	245.79	с	85	b	2.6	а	70	d	0.219 a		

	Plant															
	Densit	у	Lodgin	g	Grain yiel	d	Grain yie	ld	Test W		Plump		Thin		Groat Y	ield
	/m2		0-10		kg/ha		bu/ac		g/0.5 L		%		%		%	
Cultivar																
Stride	184.9	С	4.3	b	4446.1	b	115.6	b	259.1	b	89.9	b	1.8	bc	73.6	С
CDC Dancer	212.0	ab	3.8	b	4165.5	с	108.3	С	268.3	а	91.7	а	1.6	С	82.4	а
Summit	219.6	а	5.2	а	4362.1	b	113.4	b	261.5	ab	91.4	а	1.9	ab	80.2	b
Triactor	199.6	bc	2.8	С	5168.6	а	134.4	а	241.0	С	89.4	b	2.1	а	72.6	d
Nitrogen Rate																
40 kg/ha	218.0	а	4.2	ab	3744.7	d	97.4	d	257.9	а	91.1	а	1.7	а	76.9	b
60 kg/ha	215.1	а	4.6	а	4273.9	с	111.1	С	256.5	а	91.2	а	1.8	а	77.0	b
80 kg/ha	196.8	b	3.8	bc	4686.4	b	121.9	b	262.6	а	90.2	b	2.0	а	77.2	b
120 kg/ha	186.3	b	3.4	С	5437.1	а	141.4	а	252.9	а	89.8	b	1.8	а	77.8	а
Interaction p value	0.0183		0.0008													
Table 5. Yield response	e and test w	eight	t stability	ofo	at to fertiliz	zer	N at Melf	ort i	n 2015							
	Plant															
	Densit	v	Lodging	g	Grain vield		Grain viel	d	Test Wt		Plump		Thin		Groat Yi	eld

#### Table 4. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Yorkton in 2015

	Plant															
	Density		Lodging	5	Grain yield		Grain yie	ld	Test Wt		Plump		Thin		Groat Y	/ield
	/m2		0-10		kg/ha		bu/ac		g/0.5 L		%		%		%	
Cultivar																
Stride	205.3	С	1.1	а	4923.4	d	128.0	d	254.2	а	89.3	С	1.8	а	71.4	С
CDC Minstrel	233.8	ab	1.0	а	5252.5	с	136.6	С	241.1	С	97.8	А	1.1	b	73.0	b
AC Morgan	245.1	а	1.0	а	5831.4 a	а	151.6	а	246.7	b	95.2	В	0.9	b	70.4	d
CDC Seabiscuit	214.3	bc	1.3	а	5544.2 l	b	144.2	b	232.1	d	95.3	В	1.5	а	74.0	а
Nitrogen Rate																
40 kg/ha	224.6	а	1.0	b	4549.9	d	118.3	d	246.9	а	95.4	А	1.1	а	71.9	а
60 kg/ha	220.6	а	1.0	b	5111.9	с	132.9	С	245.0	ab	94.7	ab	1.2	а	72.0	а
80 kg/ha	230.7	а	1.0	b	5631.2 l	b	146.4	b	242.8	b	94.1	В	1.5	а	72.2	а
120 kg/ha	222.5	а	1.4	а	6258.4	а	162.7	а	239.3	с	94.9	ab	1.3	а	72.6	а
Interaction p value			0.051						0.012							

		<u></u>		<u>, .</u> .											
	Plant														
	Density	/	Lodging	5	Grain yiel	d	Grain yield	Test Wt	Ī	Plump		Thin		Groat Y	ield
	/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/ac	g/0.5 L		%		%		%	
Cultivar															
Stride	201.26	b	1.9	а	3649.6	b	96	254.614	b	87.6	С	1.42	а	72.13	b
CDC Ruffian	213.56	b	1.3	b	4253.19	а	111	248.414	С	96.53	А	0.54	С	74.77	а
CS Camden	209.77	b	1	b	4520.38	а	118	246.309	С	93.84	В	0.86	b	71.94	b
CDC Big Brown	250.47	а	1.3	b	4400.69	а	115	260.498	а	96.94	А	0.86	b	75.12	а
Nitrogen Rate															
40 kg/ha	227.3	а	1.1	а	3651.41	С	96	253.24	а	93.35	А	0.95	а	72.75	b
60 kg/ha	234.58	а	1.4	а	4118.68	b	108	253.991	а	93.08	А	0.96	а	73.17	b
80 kg/ha	219.3	а	1.3	а	4503.69	а	118	252.338	ab	93.94	А	1.01	а	73.94	а
120 kg/ha	193.88	а	1.6	а	4550.08	а	119	250.266	b	94.54	А	0.76	а	74.11	а

## Table 6. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Indian Head in 2015

Table 7. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Redvers in 2015															
	Plant														
	Densit	у	Lodgin	g	Grain yiel	d	Grain yield	Test Wt		Plump		Thin		Groat Y	'ield
	/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/ac	g/0.5 L		%		%		%	
Cultivar															
Stride	163	С	1.6	b	4416.6	а	115.7	248.5	а	64.1	b	4.7	b	68.9	С
Leggett	247	b	2	b	4542.3	а	118.9	244.6	b	77.1	а	3.9	b	70.1	ab
Souris	278	а	4.7	а	4490.5	а	117.6	236.0	с	64.2	b	8.6	а	70.7	а
CDC Morrison	261	ab	1.1	с	4602.8	а	120.5	247.5	а	72.3	а	4.0	b	69.5	bc
Nitrogen Rate															
40 kg/ha	231.8	а	1.4	с	4154.4	b	108.8	248.9	а	77.4	а	3.4	b	69.7	а
60 kg/ha	237.8	а	1.7	bc	4466.0	а	116.9	244.6	b	67.2	b	6.0	а	69.4	а
80 kg/ha	238.1	а	2.2	b	4667.6	а	122.2	243.9	b	66.0	b	5.9	а	70.0	а
120 kg/ha	241.4	а	3.3	а	4764.2	а	124.8	239.2	С	67.3	b	5.3	а	70.1	а
Interaction p value			0.006					0.09							

Table 8. Yield response a	Table 8. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Yorkton in 2016														
Description	Plant Densi	ty	Lodge		Grain yield		Grain yie	ld	Test Wt						
Rating Unit	/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/acre	į	g/0.5 L						
Cultivar															
Stride	296.6	ab	2.44	b	3738.1	b	97.9	b	257.2	а					
CDC Dancer	267.8	b	3.24	а	3179	С	83.2	С	246.4	С					
Summit	311.8	а	1.27	С	4032.3	а	105.6	а	252.1	b					
Triactor	266.6	b	1.27	С	4027.4	а	105.5	а	240.2	d					
Nitrogen Rate (kg/ha)															
40 kg/ha	292.3	а	1.52	b	3426.9	b	89.7	b	254	а					
60 kg/ha	309.7	а	1.42	b	3490.7	b	91.4	b	253.4	а					
80 kg/ha	281.7	ab	2.23	а	4069.4	а	106.6	а	246.4	b					
120 kg/ha	259.1	b	2.83	а	3989.8	а	104.5	а	242.1	С					
Interaction p value			0.0284		0.0168		0.0168								

Table 9. Yield response	Table 9. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Melfort in 2016														
Description	Plant Density		Lodge		Grain yield		Grain	yield	Test Wt						
			Belgium	(0-											
Rating Unit	/m2		5)		kg/ha		bu/a	cre	g/0.5 L						
Cultivar															
Stride	220.02	ab	3.26	а	7257.65	bc	190	bc	249.98	а					
Minstrel	211.61	b	1.36	b	7454.44	ab	195.2	ab	234.45	b					
AC Morgan	234.38	а	0.25	С	7638.15	а	200	а	251.08	а					
Seabiscuit	207.1	b	2.89	а	7153.18	С	187.3	С	235.96	b					
Nitrogen Rate (kg/ha)															
40 kg/ha	217.25	ab	0.54	С	7177.41	b	187.9	b	247.64	а					
60 kg/ha	206.08	b	2.02	b	7377.46	ab	193.2	ab	242.81	b					
80 kg/ha	234.38	а	1.34	bc	7352.95	ab	192.5	ab	242.98	b					
120 kg/ha	215.41	b	3.44	а	7595.59	а	198.9	а	238.03	С					
Interaction p value															

Table 10. Yield response and	Table 10. Yield response and test weight stability of oat to fertilizer N at Indian Head in 2016														
Description	Plant Density		Lodge	2	Grain yiel	d	Grain yie	eld	Test Wt						
Rating Unit	/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/acre	e	g/0.5 L						
Cultivar															
Stride	245.65	b	3.9	а	4136.2	b	108.3	b	261.386	А					
CDC Ruffian	247.6	b	2.8	b	4221.5	b	110.5	b	247.546	D					
CS Camden	301.84	а	2.8	b	4262.1	ab	111.6	ab	252.308	С					
CDC Big Brown	184.65	С	2.3	С	4426.1	а	115.9	а	256.83	В					
Nitrogen Rate (kg/ha)															
40 kg/ha	241.24	а	2.6	b	3460.6	d	90.6	d	254.179	А					
60 kg/ha	242.88	а	2.8	b	4058.7	С	106.3	С	255.519	А					
80 kg/ha	241.86	а	3.4	а	4436	b	116.2	b	254.542	А					
120 kg/ha	253.75	а	3	b	5090.8	а	133.3	а	253.83	А					

Table 11. Yield response and	test weight stab	ility	of oat to	fertil	izer N at Redver	s in	2016			
Description	Plant Density		Lodge		Grain yield		Grain yield	1	Test Wt	
Rating Unit	/m2		(1-10)		kg/ha		bu/acre		g/0.5 L	
Cultivar										
Stride	216.64	а	4.94	b	5848.8	b	153.2 b		245.83	а
Justice	204.33	а	6.56	а	6459	а	169.1 a		249.61	а
Souris	212.43	а	5.31	b	6266	а	164.1 a		238.72	b
CDC Morrison	222.07	а	2	С	5178.9	С	135.6 c		232.39	С
Nitrogen Rate (kg/ha)										
40 kg/ha	218.69	а	3.66	С	5589.3	b	146.4 b		246.33	а
60 kg/ha	211.82	а	4.5	b	5987.5	а	156.8 a		243.21	ab
80 kg/ha	211.82	а	5.16	ab	6051.9	а	158.5 a		239.13	bc
120 kg/ha	213.15	а	5.5	а	6124	а	160.4 a		237.88	С
Interaction p value					0.0478		0.0478		0.0106	

## **11. Conclusions and Recommendation**

Grain yield increased as the N rate increased at all 11 site-years with grain yield maximized at the highest N rate at 6 site years. There does not appear to be a strong correlation between cultivars with high yield potential and a low test weight. There does appear to be a relationship between lodging increasing as N rates increased and test weight decreasing. A combined analysis is now required to further investigate this relationship. In addition the combined analysis will let us look at the cultivars overall stability in test weight as the N rate increases.

## 12. Acknowledgements

- ADOPT Signs were posted at the various trial locations
- Data posted on web sites and annual reports
- Plots toured at the locations

## Abstract

## 13. Abstract/Summary

Oat growers are looking for ways to increase their yield and maintain the quality of the oats they grow. Many are using high N rates with varying degrees of success. Research indicates that some cultivars have a more stable test weight than other cultivars as the nitrogen fertilizer fate is increased. In addition new cultivars are available that growers have not had a chance to see evaluated in their own area. The demonstration consisted of four cultivars and four nitrogen rates in an RCBD with four replications at Indian Head, Yorkton, Melfort and Redvers in 2014 and 2015. The experiment was successfully carried out at three locations in 2014, Indian Head, Yorkton and Melfort and all locations in 2015. In 2014 seeding could not be completed due to excessive soil moisture and rain at Redvers. In 2014 there was no interaction among the cultivars for their response to nitrogen fertilizer at all three locations. This indicates that the cultivars all responded in a similar manor to the application of nitrogen fertilizer. However both 2015 and 2016 at 2 out of 4 locations there was an interaction between the cultivars and their response to applied N. In general, as the amount of applied N increased lodging increased. In all eleven site-years (a location in a specific year) trials conducted over the three years, grain yield increased as the N rate increased. At three site years, Indian Head 2014 and Redvers 2015 and 2015 only 60 kg N/ha was needed to maximize yield (Tables 3, 7 and 11). Two site years, Indian Head 2015 and Yorkton 2016, required 80 kg N/ha to maximize yield (Tables 6 and 8). At six site years, Yorkton in 2014 and 2015, Melfort in 2014, 2015, and 2016 and Indian Head in 2016, 120 kg N/ha was required to maximize yield (Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 9 and 10). The test weight of the cultivars differed in their response to the addition of N fertilizer at 2 out of 4 locations in 2015 and 1 out of 4 locations in 2016. The test weight declined as the N rate increased at Indian Head in 2014 and 2015, Yorkton in 2014 and 2016, Melfort in 2015 and 2016 and Redvers in 2015 and 2016 but not at Melfort in 2014, Yorkton in 2015 and Indian Head in 2016 (Tables 1-11). There does not appear to be a strong correlation between cultivars with high yield potential and a low test weight. There does appear to be a relationship

between lodging increasing as N rates increased and test weight decreasing. A combined analysis is now required to further investigate this relationship. In addition the combined analysis will let us look at the cultivars overall stability in test weight as the N rate increases.

**14.** The trials were shown during field days over the three years at, Indian Head (630 people), at Yorkton (180 people), at Melfort (350 people) and at Redvers in 2015 (80 people).

The results will be posted on the websites of the participating organizations.

<b>Appendix 1:</b>	N rate –	Cultivar	Interactions
--------------------	----------	----------	--------------

Table	A1: N rate and	d cultivar i	inte	raction	s on O	at Yield at '	York	ton in 201	L <b>4</b>								
Descri	otion	Plant Density	y	Lodg	ing	Yield		Test	Wt	Wild Oa	ət	Plum	ip Seed	Thin S	Seed	Groat '	Yield
Rating	Unit	/m2		1-1	.0	Kg/ha		g/0.!	5L	g/50g			%	%		%	
Cultivar	N Rate																
CDC Dancer	40 kg/ha	252.95	а	5.3	b	6244.1	bc	258.40	de	0.00	а	96	abc	0.7	С	75.7	ab
CDC Dancer	60 kg/ha	277.56	а	4.0	bc	6387.4	bc	261.09	cde	0.04	а	96	abc	0.6	С	75.8	ab
CDC Dancer	80 kg/ha	275.84	а	5.3	b	6565.7	bc	257.37	е	0.00	а	96	bc	0.5	С	76.3	а
CDC Dancer	120 kg/ha	279.28	а	8.0	а	6853.3	b	251.07	f	0.00	а	95	С	0.9	bc	74.7	b
Stride	40 kg/ha	283.46	а	3.3	bc	5027.0	d	268.87	а	0.00	а	97	а	0.4	С	73.2	cd
Stride	60 kg/ha	274.11	а	4.3	bc	5908.1	С	266.60	ab	0.00	а	96	abc	0.5	С	73.1	d
Stride	80 kg/ha	275.84	а	4.0	bc	6238.0	bc	265.15	abc	0.00	а	96	bc	0.6	С	72.3	d
Stride	120 kg/ha	257.38	а	5.3	b	6670.3	bc	263.35	bcd	0.00	а	95	bc	0.5	С	72.9	d
Summit	40 kg/ha	285.19	а	2.5	С	5301.4	d	263.67	bcd	0.01	а	97	ab	0.4	С	74.3	bc
Summit	60 kg/ha	266.49	а	2.3	С	5956.1	С	261.18	cde	0.01	а	96	abc	0.6	С	74.4	bc
Summit	80 kg/ha	274.11	а	3.0	bc	6702.1	bc	260.97	cde	0.00	а	96	abc	0.7	С	74.5	b
Summit	120 kg/ha	250.25	а	2.8	bc	6819.5	b	257.46	е	0.00	а	96	abc	0.7	С	74.9	b
Triactor	40 kg/ha	252.46	а	3.0	bc	6393.7	bc	245.72	g	0.00	а	96	abc	0.8	bc	72.3	d
Triactor	60 kg/ha	254.68	а	3.3	bc	6987.5	b	241.57	gh	0.01	а	95	bc	1.5	а	72.3	d
Triactor	80 kg/ha	249.51	а	2.5	С	7062.2	b	242.94	gh	0.00	а	95	С	1.3	ab	72.5	d
Triactor	120 kg/ha	258.37	а	3.0	bc	7753.6	а	239.26	h	0.00	а	95	с	1.7	а	72.6	d
LSD P=.05		34.02		1.5	0	534.98	3	3.65	53	0.030		-	1.0	0.	4	0.9	8
CV		8.92		27.3	34	5.82		1.0	)	0.69		0	.71	36.	66	0.9	2
Treatment Prob	o(F)	0.3481	L	0.00	01	0.0001	L	0.00	01	0.5521	L	0.0	0001	0.00	01	0.00	01

Table A2: N rate	and cultivar i	nteractio	n on	Oat Yi	ield at	: Melfort i	n 2014										
Descript	ion	Plant Densi	t ty	Loo Belg	dge gian	Grain \	′ield	Grain \	′ield	Test V	Wt	Plum	р	Thir	ı	TKV	V
Rating L	Init	/m2		0-	-5	Kg/h	na	Bu/a	ас	g/0.5	5L	%		%		g/100	)Og
Cultivar	N Rate																
AC Morgan	40 kg/ha	292	а	0	b	7100.5	b-e	185.9	b-e	280.0	abc	90.4	abc	1.31	bc	36.9	cde
AC Morgan	60 kg/ha	298	а	0	b	7204.3	bcd	188.7	bcd	277.0	abc	90.7	abc	1.26	bc	37.9	bc
AC Morgan	80 kg/ha	291	а	0	b	7654.3	ab	200.4	ab	269.8	abc	89.7	abc	1.05	С	37.2	cde
AC Morgan	120 kg/ha	301	а	0	b	8027.3	а	210.2	а	268.6	abc	90.1	abc	1.19	bc	37.5	cd
CDC Minstrel	40 kg/ha	317	а	0	b	6404.0	f	167.7	f	282.0	а	91.9	а	2.23	abc	37.0	cde
CDC Minstrel	60 kg/ha	342	а	0	b	6713.3	c-f	175.8	c-f	279.4	а	90.2	abc	2.99	а	37.0	cde
CDC Minstrel	80 kg/ha	340	а	0	b	7024.0	c-f	183.9	c-f	266.2	а	90.5	abc	2.36	abc	35.0	е
CDC Minstrel	120 kg/ha	314	а	0	b	7357.0	bc	192.7	bc	264.0	а	89.0	abc	1.9	abc	35.5	de
CDC Seabiscuit	40 kg/ha	297	а	0.2	ab	6443.8	ef	168.7	ef	262.1	bc	91.6	ab	1.37	bc	40.7	а
CDC Seabiscuit	60 kg/ha	256	а	0.2	ab	6653.8	def	174.2	def	270.1	abc	90.7	abc	1.71	abc	39.9	а
CDC Seabiscuit	80 kg/ha	280	а	0.2	ab	6995.8	c-f	183.2	c-f	260.6	с	90.3	abc	1.53	bc	39.5	ab
CDC Seabiscuit	120 kg/ha	276.8	а	0.6	ab	7183.3	bcd	188.1	bcd	266.8	abc	89.3	abc	1.83	abc	37.8	bc
Stride	40 kg/ha	272	а	0	b	6353.8	f	166.4	f	285.0	а	88.9	abc	2.43	ab	32.8	f
Stride	60 kg/ha	277	а	0	b	6831.0	c-f	178.9	c-f	280.2	abc	90.9	ab	1.79	abc	32.9	f
Stride	80 kg/ha	309	а	0	b	6741.8	c-f	176.5	c-f	284.4	ab	86.1	С	2.53	ab	32.4	f
Stride	120 kg/ha	280	а	0.8	а	6930.8	c-f	181.5	c-f	281.9	abc	87.0	bc	2.40	abc	32.8	f
LSD P=.05		49.77	7	0.4	44	417.	.7	10.9	9	12.	7	2.7		0.78	3	1.5	,
CV		11.74	1	24	8.3	4.19	9	4.1	9	3.2	5	2.09	Ð	29.3	8	2.84	1
Treatment Prob(	F)	0.057	7	0.0	117	0.00	01	0.00	01	0.003	32	0.005	56	0.000	)1	0.000	)1

Descript	ion	Plant Den	sity	Lod	ge	Grain \	/ield	Test \	Nt	Wild	Oat	Plump	Seed	Thin S	Seed	Groat \	/ield
Rating L	Jnit	/m2		1-1	.0	Kg/ł	na	g/0.5	5L	g/5	Og	%	, D	%	ı	%	
Cultivar	N Rate																
CDC Big Brown	40 kg/ha	243.60	а	2.3	f	3330.3	b	268.3	ab	0.19	а	93	а	2.2	bc	75.2	а
CDC Big Brown	60 kg/ha	233.76	а	5.5	b-f	4165.0	ab	262.8	abc	0.29	а	92	а	2.2	bc	72.5	b
CDC Big Brown	80 kg/ha	228.84	а	7.3	a-d	4486.8	ab	260.7	a-d	0.11	а	92	а	2.3	bc	72.3	bc
CDC Big Brown	120 kg/ha	209.56	а	8.0	abc	4172.8	ab	250.3	de	0.13	а	87	ab	3.6	а	70.8	b-f
CDC Orrin	40 kg/ha	237.04	а	5.0	c-f	3355.2	b	261.1	abc	0.34	а	94	а	1.2	cd	70.2	c-f
CDC Orrin	60 kg/ha	229.25	а	5.5	b-f	4224.8	ab	260.3	a-d	0.16	а	95	а	0.9	cd	69.9	def
CDC Orrin	80 kg/ha	225.15	а	6.8	a-e	4133.9	ab	256.0	cde	0.20	а	93	а	1.5	cd	70.1	c-f
CDC Orrin	120 kg/ha	223.51	а	9.0	ab	4787.5	а	246.9	е	0.04	а	92	а	2.0	bcd	69.0	f
Pinnacle	40 kg/ha	210.79	а	3.5	ef	3614.8	ab	258.8	a-d	0.10	а	94	а	0.9	cd	72.1	bcd
Pinnacle	60 kg/ha	218.59	а	5.5	b-f	4440.1	ab	253.3	cde	0.08	а	95	а	0.7	d	71.5	b-e
Pinnacle	80 kg/ha	232.94	а	7.5	a-d	3808.8	ab	247.9	е	0.49	а	92	а	1.2	cd	69.5	ef
Pinnacle	120 kg/ha	225.15	а	10.0	а	4251.3	ab	233.2	f	0.16	а	89	а	2.0	bcd	69.0	f
Stride	40 kg/ha	222.69	а	4.3	def	3405.4	b	269.1	а	0.15	а	87	ab	1.6	cd	71.2	b-f
Stride	60 kg/ha	241.96	а	6.8	a-e	3749.1	ab	267.8	ab	0.08	а	81	b	2.0	bcd	71.0	b-f
Stride	80 kg/ha	248.93	а	8.0	abc	3777.7	ab	257.9	bcd	0.22	а	81	b	2.3	bc	70.2	c-f
Stride	120 kg/ha	251.39	а	8.3	abc	3974.9	ab	252.8	cde	0.31	а	72	С	3.0	ab	69.4	ef
LSD P=.05		13.9		2	.2	76	0.3	6.6		1.61		5		0.8		1.4	
CV		9.81		23	.46	13	.37	1.81		46.96	5	4.0	)	31.8	9	1.3	7
Prob(F)		0.3123		0.0	001	0.0	070	0.000	1	0.081	8	0.00	01	0.000	01	0.00	01

# Table A3: N rate and cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2014

Descri	otion	Plar Dens	nt ity	Lod	ging	Yield	ł	Yiel	d	Test	Wt	Plump	Seed	Thin S	Seed	Groat	Yielc
Rating	Unit	/m2	2	1-	10	Kg/h	а	Bu/a	С	g/0.5	5L	%	)	%	,	%	
Cultivar	N Rate																
CDC Dancer	40 kg/ha	214.0	bcd	4.5	b-e	3484.5	h	90.6	h	262.7	b	92.8	а	1.7	b-e	82.1	ab
CDC Dancer	60 kg/ha	229.8	abc	4.9	a-d	4021.6	fg	104.6	fg	264.7	b	92.4	ab	1.6	de	82.5	а
CDC Dancer	80 kg/ha	210.3	b-e	3.5	efg	4254.1	ef	110.6	ef	286.1	а	91.6	abc	1.5	de	82.8	а
CDC Dancer	120 kg/ha	194.0	d-g	2.4	gh	4901.9	С	127.5	С	259.8	bc	89.8	de	1.5	е	82.2	ab
Stride	40 kg/ha	200.3	c-f	4.9	a-d	3763.2	gh	97.8	gh	262.3	b	90.4	cde	1.6	b-e	73.5	ef
Stride	60 kg/ha	193.0	d-g	5.1	abc	4204.3	ef	109.3	ef	259.2	bc	90.1	cde	1.7	a-e	73.5	ef
Stride	80 kg/ha	175.8	fg	3.5	efg	4527.3	de	117.7	de	259.5	bc	89.1	е	2.2	а	73.2	fg
Stride	120 kg/ha	170.8	fg	3.6	d-g	5289.5	b	137.5	b	255.6	bcd	89.8	de	1.6	b-e	74.4	е
Summit	40 kg/ha	247.5	а	5.8	ab	3473.4	h	90.3	h	264.6	b	92.3	ab	1.6	cde	80.0	d
Summit	60 kg/ha	238.5	ab	6.0	а	4091.7	fg	106.4	fg	260.8	bc	92.0	ab	1.9	a-e	79.7	d
Summit	80 kg/ha	182.0	efg	5.1	abc	4473.7	de	116.3	de	261.9	b	90.9	bcd	2.0	а-е	80.0	СС
Summit	120 kg/ha	210.5	b-e	3.8	def	5409.5	b	140.7	b	258.7	bc	90.3	cde	2.0	а-е	81.2	bo
Triactor	40 kg/ha	210.1	b-e	1.7	h	4257.6	ef	110.7	ef	242.2	cde	89.1	е	2.0	a-d	72.2	g
Triactor	60 kg/ha	199.3	d-g	2.4	gh	4778.3	cd	124.2	cd	241.2	de	90.1	cde	2.1	ab	72.2	g
Triactor	80 kg/ha	219.0	a-d	3.1	fgh	5490.7	b	142.8	b	243.0	cde	89.2	е	2.2	а	72.7	fg
Triactor	120 kg/ha	170.0	g	3.9	c-f	6147.7	а	159.8	а	237.6	е	89.2	е	2.1	abc	73.5	ef
SD P=.05		29.8	38	1.3	858	354.7	72	9.22	.4	17.23	65	1.5	58	0.5	03	1.1	5
CV		10.4	14	23	.82	5.57		5.5	7	4.7	7	1.2	.3	19.	67	1.0	6
reatment Pro	b(F)	0.00	01	0.0	001	0.000	1	0.00	01	0.00	02	0.00	01	0.0	3	0.00	01

Descript	ion	Plant Densi	t ty	Loc Belg	lge gian	Grain Y	'ield	Grain \	'ield	Test	Wt	Plum	пр	Thir	า	Groat	Vield
Rating L	Jnit	/m2		0-	·5	Kg/h	a	Bu/a	ас	g/0.5	5L	%		%		%	neiu
Cultivar	N Rate															/0	
AC Morgan	40 kg/ha	245.8	а	1.0	С	5007.9	fg	130.2	fg	248.2	С	95.3	bc	0.8	fg	70.2	е
AC Morgan	60 kg/ha	243.8	а	1.0	с	5591.8	de	145.4	de	247.2	cd	95.0	bc	0.7	g	70.3	е
AC Morgan	80 kg/ha	251.3	а	1.0	с	6107.5	bc	158.8	bc	246.9	cde	94.8	bc	1.0	d-g	70.4	е
AC Morgan	120 kg/ha	239.5	а	1.0	С	6618.4	а	172.1	а	244.4	cde	95.8	bc	0.9	efg	70.6	de
CDC Minstrel	40 kg/ha	235.8	а	1.0	с	4520.7	h	117.5	h	244.1	cde	98.0	а	1.0	d-g	72.3	bc
CDC Minstrel	60 kg/ha	215.0	а	1.0	с	4760.9	gh	123.8	gh	241.3	ef	97.5	а	1.2	c-g	72.3	bc
CDC Minstrel	80 kg/ha	255.0	а	1.0	с	5556.2	de	144.5	de	237.0	fg	97.4	а	1.4	a-e	73.2	ab
CDC Minstrel	120 kg/ha	229.5	а	1.0	С	6172.3	abc	160.5	abc	241.9	def	98.4	а	0.7	g	74.0	а
CDC Seabiscuit	40 kg/ha	199.5	а	1.0	с	4666.8	gh	121.3	gh	237.9	fg	96.0	b	1.2	c-g	73.8	а
CDC Seabiscuit	60 kg/ha	212.0	а	1.0	с	5467.9	def	142.2	def	236.4	fg	95.9	bc	1.3	b-f	74.2	а
CDC Seabiscuit	80 kg/ha	220.3	а	1.0	с	5614.1	de	146.0	de	232.3	g	94.3	С	1.9	а	73.9	а
CDC Seabiscuit	120 kg/ha	225.3	а	2.0	а	6427.9	ab	167.1	ab	221.8	h	95.0	bc	1.8	abc	74.1	а
Stride	40 kg/ha	217.5	а	1.0	с	4004.3	i	104.1	i	257.5	а	91.5	d	1.5	a-d	71.2	cde
Stride	60 kg/ha	211.5	а	1.0	с	4627.1	gh	120.3	gh	255.0	ab	88.7	е	1.9	ab	71.2	cde
Stride	80 kg/ha	196.3	а	1.0	с	5247.2	ef	136.4	ef	254.9	ab	88.4	е	1.8	ab	71.4	cde
Stride	120 kg/ha	195.8	а	1.5	b	5814.9	cd	151.2	cd	249.3	bc	88.2	е	1.9	ab	71.7	cd
LSD P=.05		47.0		0.	5	470.	0	12.	2	5.8						1.3	3
CV		14.7		30	.3	6.1		6.1		1.7	,	1.99	)t	21.8	5t	1.3	3
Treatment I	Prob(F)	0.206	3	0.00	052	0.00	01	0.00	01	0.00	01	0.00	01	0.000	D1	0.00	01

<u>g Format</u>							Rev	ised Jun	e 2, 2011					
nd cultivar i	nteraction	s on Oa	at Yiel	d at In	dian Hea	id in 201	5							
n	Plant Den	sity	Lod	ge	Grain	Yield	Test	Wt	Plump	Seed	Thin S	Seed	Groat Yi	eld
t	/m2		1-1	.0	Kg/	ha	g/0.5	5L	%	, D	%	, )	%	
N Rate														
40 kg/ha	244.0	а	1.3	b	3796.6	e-h	259.7	ab	95.7	abc	1.1	a-d	74.4	b
60 kg/ha	255.1	а	1.0	b	4639.3	ab	261.9	а	97.2	ab	0.7	c-f	75.1	ab
80 kg/ha	261.2	а	1.5	b	4891.4	ab	259.5	ab	97.2	ab	1.1	a-e	75.7	а
120 kg/ha	241.6	а	1.5	b	4275.4	b-f	260.9	ab	97.8	а	0.5	ef	75.3	ab
40 kg/ha	234.2	ab	1.0	b	3904.8	d-g	248.7	def	95.8	abc	0.6	c-f	74.4	b
60 kg/ha	239.1	а	1.5	b	4006.9	c-f	249.5	def	96.8	ab	0.5	def	74.5	b
80 kg/ha	223.1	abc	1.3	b	4620.4	abc	250.8	de	96.5	ab	0.6	def	75.3	ab
120 kg/ha	157.9	d	1.3	b	4480.7	bcd	244.7	fg	97.0	ab	0.4	f	75.0	ab
10 kg/ba	202.6		4.0			<b>C</b> 1					o <b>-</b>	r	70.0	c
40 kg/na	202.6	a-d	1.0	b	3695.5	fgh	248.3	d-g	95.0	abc	0.7	C-T	/0.9	Ť
60 kg/ha	207.9	a-d	1.0	b	4498.0	a-d	247.6	d-g	92.9	cd	1.0	b-f	71.7	def
80 kg/ha	220.2	a-d	1.0	b	4770.8	ab	246.1	efg	93.7	bc	0.9	b-f	72.2	cde
120 kg/ha	208.3	a-d	1.0	b	5117.2	а	243.2	g	93.7	bc	0.9	b-f	73.0	С
40 kg/ha	228.4	abc	1.3	b	3208.7	h	256.3	bc	87.0	ef	1.4	ab	71.4	ef
60 kg/ha	236.2	a	23	a	3330 5	øh	257.0	ahc	85.4	f.	1.6	a	71.4	ef
80 kg/ha	172 7	brd	15	u h	3737 2	<u>ө</u> .н	252.9	cd	88.3	ef	15	ah	72.6	cd
120 kg/ha	167.7	cd	2.5	2	/327.0	h-e	252.5	cd	89.6	de	1.5	abc	72.0	c
		52.6	2.5	0.7	+527.0	629.7	252.5	5.4	05.0	3.6	<u> </u>	0.6	1.0	<u> </u>
	2	20.1		37.7	,	10.5		1.5		2.7	47	.5	1.0	
	0.0	406		0.002		0.0001	0.0	001	0	.0001	0.0	04	0.0001	
	Erormat d cultivar i d cultivar i n t N Rate 40 kg/ha 60 kg/ha 80 kg/ha 120 kg/ha 120 kg/ha 40 kg/ha 60 kg/ha 80 kg/ha 120 kg/ha 120 kg/ha 60 kg/ha 120 kg/ha 120 kg/ha	Format           d cultivar interaction           n         Plant Den           t         /m2           N Rate         40 kg/ha         244.0           40 kg/ha         255.1           80 kg/ha         261.2           120 kg/ha         241.6           40 kg/ha         234.2           60 kg/ha         239.1           80 kg/ha         223.1           120 kg/ha         157.9           40 kg/ha         202.6           60 kg/ha         207.9           80 kg/ha         202.2           120 kg/ha         208.3           40 kg/ha         202.2           120 kg/ha         208.3           40 kg/ha         228.4           60 kg/ha         236.2           80 kg/ha         172.7           120 kg/ha         167.7	Format         d cultivar interactions on Oa         n       Plant Density         t       /m2         N Rate         40 kg/ha       244.0         40 kg/ha       255.1         80 kg/ha       261.2         120 kg/ha       241.6         40 kg/ha       234.2         40 kg/ha       239.1         40 kg/ha       239.1         80 kg/ha       223.1         80 kg/ha       202.6         40 kg/ha       202.6         40 kg/ha       202.2         80 kg/ha       220.2         40 kg/ha       208.3         20 kg/ha       208.3         40 kg/ha       228.4         80 kg/ha       172.7         60 kg/ha       236.2         80 kg/ha       167.7         62.6       20.1         0.0406       20.40	Format         d cultivar interactions on Oat Yiel         n       Plant Density       Lod         t       /m2       1-1         N Rate       40 kg/ha       244.0       a       1.3         40 kg/ha       244.0       a       1.3         60 kg/ha       255.1       a       1.0         80 kg/ha       261.2       a       1.5         120 kg/ha       241.6       a       1.5         40 kg/ha       234.2       ab       1.0         60 kg/ha       239.1       a       1.5         80 kg/ha       223.1       abc       1.3         40 kg/ha       202.6       a-d       1.0         60 kg/ha       207.9       a-d       1.0         80 kg/ha       202.2       a-d       1.0         80 kg/ha       202.2       a-d       1.0         80 kg/ha       202.2       a-d       1.0         80 kg/ha       220.2       a-d       1.0         80 kg/ha       220.2       a-d       1.0         80 kg/ha       220.2       a-d       1.0         40 kg/ha       228.4       abc       1.3         60	Format         d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Ind         Plant Density       Lodge         n       Plant Density       Lodge         t       /m2       1-10         N Rate       1       1         40 kg/ha       244.0       a       1.3       b         60 kg/ha       255.1       a       1.0       b         80 kg/ha       261.2       a       1.5       b         40 kg/ha       234.2       ab       1.0       b         60 kg/ha       239.1       a       1.5       b         40 kg/ha       233.1       abc       1.3       b         120 kg/ha       202.6       a-d       1.0       b         80 kg/ha       202.6       a-d       1.0       b         40 kg/ha       202.6       a-d       1.0       b         120 kg/ha       207.9       a-d       1.0       b         80 kg/ha       220.2       a-d       1.0       b         120 kg/ha       208.3       a-d       1.0       b         40 kg/ha       228.4       abc       1.3       b         6	Format d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Heat n           Plant Density         Lodge         Grain           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/           N Rate         1.3         b         3796.6           40 kg/ha         244.0         a         1.3         b         3796.6           60 kg/ha         255.1         a         1.0         b         4639.3           80 kg/ha         261.2         a         1.5         b         4891.4           120 kg/ha         241.6         a         1.5         b         4275.4           40 kg/ha         234.2         ab         1.0         b         3904.8           60 kg/ha         239.1         a         1.5         b         4006.9           80 kg/ha         233.1         abc         1.3         b         4620.4           120 kg/ha         202.6         a-d         1.0         b         3695.5           60 kg/ha         202.6         a-d         1.0         b         3480.7           40 kg/ha         202.6         a-d         1.0         b         3695.5           60 kg/ha         207.9         a-d         1.0         <	Termat           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 201           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield           n         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha           N Rate	Rev           Rev           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test N           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.1           N Rate	Revised Jun           N Rate           40 kg/ha         244.0         a         1.3         S 3796.6         e-h         259.7         ab           A0 kg/ha         244.0         a         1.0         b         3796.6         e-h         259.7         ab           A0 kg/ha         241.0         a         1.5         b         400 kg/ha         234.2         ab         1.5         b         400 kg/ha         234.2         ab         1.0         b         3904.8         d-g         248.7         def           60 kg/ha         234.2         a	Revised June 2, 2011           N at plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump           N Rate           40 kg/ha         244.0         a         1.3         b         3796.6         e-h         259.7         ab         95.7           60 kg/ha         255.1         a         1.20         Kg/ha         248.7         def         95.8           60 kg/ha         234.2         a         95.8           40 kg/ha         234.2         a         1.5         b         406.9         c.f         248.7         def         95.8           60 kg/ha <t< td=""><td>Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %           N Rate        </td><td>Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed         Thin 9           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %         %           N Rate        </td><td>Revised June 2, 2011           Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Vield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed         Thin Seed           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %         %           N Rate        </td><td>Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2013           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed         Thin Seed         Groat Yi           n         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %</td></t<>	Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %           N Rate	Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed         Thin 9           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %         %           N Rate	Revised June 2, 2011           Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Vield at Indian Head in 2015           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed         Thin Seed           t         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %         %           N Rate	Revised June 2, 2011           d cultivar interactions on Oat Yield at Indian Head in 2013           n         Plant Density         Lodge         Grain Yield         Test Wt         Plump Seed         Thin Seed         Groat Yi           n         /m2         1-10         Kg/ha         g/0.5L         %

Table A7: N rate	and cultivar	interaction	s on O	at Yiel	d at R	edvers in	2015								
Descrip	tion	Plant Den	sity	Lod	ge	Grain Y	′ield	Test \	Nt	Plump	Seed	Thin S	Seed	Groat Yi	ield
Rating I	Unit	/m2		1-1	LO	Kg/h	ia	g/0.5	5L	%	)	%		%	
Cultivar	N Rate														
CDC Morrison	40 kg/ha	249.5	abc	1.0	е	4177.1	bc	250.8	abc	74.9	abc	3.8	bcd	69.3	a-d
CDC Morrison	60 kg/ha	258.4	abc	1.0	e	4407.5	bc	246.9	bcd	68.6	а-е	5.0	bcd	68.5	cd
CDC Morrison	80 kg/ha	283.5	ab	1.0	e	4771.7	ab	247.5	bcd	71.0	a-d	4.0	bcd	69.9	abc
CDC Morrison	120 kg/ha	253.9	abc	1.2	е	5054.8	а	244.8	de	74.8	abc	3.3	cd	70.3	ab
Leggett	40 kg/ha	241.6	bc	1.4	de	4119.3	С	251.8	ab	82.4	а	2.5	d	70.0	abc
Leggett	60 kg/ha	245.1	abc	1.4	de	4458.7	abc	245.3	cd	74.7	abc	4.5	bcd	69.3	a-d
Leggett	80 kg/ha	228.3	С	1.6	de	4535.1	abc	243.3	def	72.8	a-d	5.2	bc	69.8	abc
Leggett	120 kg/ha	273.1	ab	3.9	b	5056.1	а	238.2	fg	78.6	ab	3.6	bcd	71.1	а
Souris	40 kg/ha	280.0	ab	2.3	cd	4227.2	bc	239.0	fg	77.9	ab	4.3	bcd	70.3	abc
Souris	60 kg/ha	285.4	а	4.6	ab	4579.6	abc	234.2	g	60.9	cde	11.1	а	70.5	ab
Souris	80 kg/ha	266.2	abc	7.1	а	4632.4	abc	236.2	g	58.6	de	11.0	а	71.0	а
Souris	120 kg/ha	280.5	ab	5.8	ab	4522.9	abc	234.5	g	59.5	de	9.9	а	70.9	ab
Stride	40 kg/ha	156.0	d	1.0	е	4094.1	С	254.2	а	74.3	abc	3.1	cd	69.1	bcd
Stride	60 kg/ha	162.4	d	1.0	e	4418.4	bc	251.8	ab	64.4	b-e	4.8	bcd	69.4	a-d
Stride	80 kg/ha	174.2	d	1.4	de	4731.1	ab	248.6	a-d	61.6	cde	4.9	bcd	69.3	a-d
Stride	120 kg/ha	158.0	d	3.8	bc	4422.9	bc	239.3	efg	56.1	e	6.6	ab	67.9	d
LSD P=.05															
CV															
Prob(F)															

Table A8. Yie	eld response ar	nd test wei	ght stal	bility of oat	to ferti	lizer N at Yorl	kton in	2016			
		Plant Der	nsity	Lodge		Grain yield		Grain yield		Test Wt	
		/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/acre		g/0.5 L	
Treatment											
Stride	40	300.7	a-d	1.34	de	3460.9	e-h	90.6	e-h	262.6	а
Stride	60	308.6	abc	1.36	cde	3661	def	95.9	def	263.1	а
Stride	80	283.5	b-f	3.9	ab	4068	bcd	106.5	bcd	253.7	bc
Stride	120	293.8	b-e	4.15	а	3762.6	cde	98.5	cde	249.4	bcd
CDC Dancer	40	244.6	def	2.23	cd	2982.8	h	78.1	h	253.9	b
CDC Dancer	60	277.1	b-f	2.43	bc	3141.5	gh	82.3	gh	252.2	bcd
CDC Dancer	80	302.2	a-d	3.94	ab	3457.9	e-h	90.5	e-h	241.1	efg
CDC Dancer	120	247.5	c-f	4.92	а	3133.9	gh	82.1	gh	238.4	fg
Summit	40	317.9	ab	1.51	cde	3755.6	c-f	98.3	c-f	255.1	b
Summit	60	358.3	а	1	е	3257.5	fgh	85.3	fgh	256.6	ab
Summit	80	305.1	a-d	1.11	е	4530.8	ab	118.6	ab	250.5	bcd
Summit	120	265.7	b-f	1.5	cde	4585.3	а	120.1	а	246.4	cde
Triactor	40	306.1	a-d	1.11	е	3508.4	efg	91.9	efg	244.5	def
Triactor	60	294.8	a-e	1.11	е	3902.7	cde	102.2	cde	241.6	ef
Triactor	80	236.2	ef	1.11	е	4221.1	abc	110.5	abc	240.3	efg
Triactor	120	229.3	f	1.82	cde	4477.1	ab	117.2	ab	234.2	g
LSD P=.05		63.5	2	0.917 - 1	.861	499.4		13.0	8	7.3	32
CV		15.6	1	24.54	t	9.39		9.39	)	2.0	06
Treatment Pr	rob(F)	0.013	39	0.000	1	0.0001	L	0.000	)1	0.0	001

Table A9. Yi	eld respon	se and test wei	ght s	stability of c	oat to f	fertilizer N at M	lelfort	in 2016			
		Plant Densi	ty	Lodge		Grain yield		Grain yield		Test Wt	
		/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/acre		g/0.5 L	
Treatment											
Stride	40	208.74	bc	1.1	cde	7077	cd	185.3	cd	257.18	а
Stride	60	224.33	bc	4.94	ab	7624.58	abc	199.7	abc	248.95	b
Stride	80	224.74	bc	3.19	bc	7069.5	cd	185.1	cd	253.8	ab
Stride	120	222.28	bc	4.63	ab	7259.51	bcd	190.1	bcd	239.98	cd
Minstrel	40	211.2	bc	0.2	е	7351.53	a-d	192.5	a-d	237.68	cde
Minstrel	60	204.23	bc	1.21	cde	7305.06	bcd	191.3	bcd	233.5	def
Minstrel	80	216.13	bc	0.9	cde	7351.42	a-d	192.5	a-d	233.58	def
Minstrel	120	214.9	bc	4.64	ab	7809.73	ab	204.5	ab	233.05	ef
AC Morgan	40	241.14	ab	0.2	е	7374.62	a-d	193.1	a-d	255	ab
AC Morgan	60	198.08	С	0.43	de	7476.87	a-d	195.8	a-d	250.3	b
AC Morgan	80	268.62	а	0.2	е	7810	ab	204.5	ab	250.93	ab
AC Morgan	120	229.66	bc	0.2	е	7891.12	а	206.6	а	248.09	b
Seabiscuit	40	207.92	bc	1.01	cde	6906.5	d	180.9	d	240.7	С
Seabiscuit	60	197.67	С	2.9	bc	7103.35	cd	186	cd	238.5	cde
Seabiscuit	80	228.02	bc	2.06	bcd	7180.87	cd	188	cd	233.63	def
Seabiscuit	120	194.8	С	6.97	а	7421.99	a-d	194.4	a-d	231	f
LSD P=.05		37.155		1.477 - 3	.693	572.99		15		6.5	509
CV		11.95		46.08	ßt	5.45		5.45	5	1.	88
Treatment P	rob(F)	0.0328		0.000	)1	0.0312		0.032	12	0.0	001

Table A10. Yield	response and tes	t weight	stabi	lity of	oat to f	ertilizer N	at Indi	ian Head in	2016		
		Plant									
		Densit	y	Lodg	е	Grain yie	ld	Grain yiel	d	Test	Wt
		/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/acre		g/0.5	i L
Treatment											
Stride	40	234	С	3.8	ab	3309	i	86.6	i	263	а
Stride	60	250	С	4	ab	3869	fgh	101.3	fgh	262	а
Stride	80	239	С	4.3	а	4325	de	113.3	de	263	а
Stride	120	260	bc	3.8	ab	5042	ab	132	ab	258	a-d
CDC Ruffian	40	253	С	2.5	de	3523	hi	92.3	hi	246	fg
CDC Ruffian	60	242	С	2.5	de	4088	ef	107.1	ef	250	d-g
CDC Ruffian	80	244	С	3.5	abc	4428	de	115.9	de	248	efg
CDC Ruffian	120	251	С	2.8	cde	4847	bc	126.9	bc	245	g
CS Camden	40	304	а	2	е	3349	i	87.7	i	252	b-g
CS Camden	60	287	ab	2.8	cde	4060	efg	106.3	efg	250	c-g
CS Camden	80	303	а	3.3	bcd	4381	de	114.7	de	249	efg
CS Camden	120	313	а	3.3	bcd	5259	а	137.7	а	259	abc
CDC Big Brown	40	174	d	2.3	е	3662	ghi	95.9	ghi	256	а-е
CDC Big Brown	60	193	d	2	е	4218	def	110.4	def	259	ab
CDC Big Brown	80	181	d	2.8	cde	4610	cd	120.7	cd	259	a-d
CDC Big Brown	120	191	d	2.3	e	5215	ab	136.6	ab	254	b-f
-											
LSD P=.05		29	.835		0.77	3	99.97		10.47		8.4014
CV			8.55		18.23		6.59		6.59		2.32
Treatment Prob	(F)	0.0	0001	(	0.0001	0	.0001	0	.0001		0.0001

Table A11. Yield	response a	nd test weight s	tab	ility of oat t	to ferti	lizer N at Red	vers in	2016			
		Plant Densit	y	Lodge		Grain yield		Grain yield		Test Wt	
		/m2		1-10		kg/ha		bu/acre		g/0.5 L	
Treatment											
Stride	40	223.51	а	3.75	e	5453.3	fg	142.8	fg	256.6	а
Stride	60	214.07	а	4.5	de	6045.6	cde	158.3	cde	249.73	abc
Stride	80	218.59	а	5.25	cde	6252.1	bcd	163.7	bcd	243.9	bcd
Stride	120	210.38	а	6.25	abc	5644.1	efg	147.8	efg	233.08	efg
Justice	40	202.18	а	5.13	cde	6224.1	bcd	163	bcd	252.68	ab
Justice	60	221.46	а	6	bcd	6513.2	abc	170.6	abc	249.45	abc
Justice	80	187.01	а	7.63	а	6185.6	bcd	162	bcd	248.75	abc
Justice	120	206.69	а	7.5	ab	6913.1	а	181	а	247.55	bc
Souris	40	219.82	а	3.75	е	5823.1	def	152.5	def	243.65	cd
Souris	60	210.79	а	5.5	cd	6235.9	bcd	163.3	bcd	241.55	cde
Souris	80	223.92	а	5.75	cd	6557.9	ab	171.7	ab	236.05	d-g
Souris	120	195.21	а	6.25	abc	6447	abc	168.8	abc	233.63	efg
CDC Morrison	40	229.25	а	2	f	4856.6	h	127.2	h	232.4	fg
CDC Morrison	60	200.95	а	2	f	5155.1	gh	135	gh	232.1	fg
CDC Morrison	80	217.77	а	2	f	5212	gh	136.5	gh	227.8	g
CDC Morrison	120	240.32	а	2	f	5491.8	fg	143.8	fg	237.25	def
LSD P=.05		32.065		1.53	3	493.04	4	12.9	1	8.8	329
CV		10.53		22.8	8	5.83		5.83	3	2.	57
Treatment Prob(	F)	0.1777		0.000	)1	0.0002	1	0.000	)1	0.0	001